Appendix 14B-1

VDOT Sample Subdivision Checklist

To be used by Citizens, Developers, Engineers, Surveyors, other Interested Parties, and VDOT

This checklist provides an itemized list of plans, documents, design calculations and other requirements for proposed subdivision roadway improvements to be submitted to VDOT for review and approval.

Subdivision Name / Phase:

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 2 of 12 |
| - 2 - | |
| Nearest State Route No.: | County / Town: |
| Plan Date: | Latest Revision Date: |
| Submittal Date: | Submittal No. (1st, 2nd): |
| Name of Firm, Designer, Phone No.: | |

The following items should be shown or addressed in subdivision roadway plans and documents submitted to VDOT for approval. Check appropriate blank next to each item, sign last page, and submit checklist with plans. Right blank is for VDOT use only.

A. GENERAL

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|---------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Three (3) copies of submittal letter attached outlining proposed development & discussing any waivers or modifications from VDOT Standards either being requested or previously agreed |
| | | | | | upon. |
| | | | | 2. | Three (3) copies of traffic study, erosion & sediment control |
| | | | | | narrative and drainage calculations, pavement & typical road |
| | | | | | section design calculations. Bound, pages numbered, no loose |
| | | | | | pages, table of contents. May combine in one report. |
| | | | | 3. | Four (4) copies of plans (if rolled, please have print facing out) |
| | | | | 4. | All plans are to be in accordance with VDOT Subdivision Street |
| | | | | | Requirements, Road & Bridge Standards, Road & Bridge |
| | | | | | Specifications, Minimum Standards of Entrances to State |
| | | | | | Highways, Road Design Manual, L&D Instructional and |
| | | | | | Informational Memoranda, Drainage Manual, Hydraulic Design |
| | | | | - | Advisories and other applicable VDOT and Federal polices. |
| | | | | 5. | Plans should be self-explanatory with sufficient notes to explain the intent or purpose of the design |
| | | | | 6 | Title Sheet |
| | H | H | | 0. 7 | Subdivision name, phase, owner w/ address and phone number |
| | H | | | 7. 8 | Designer with address, phone number, and professional stamp |
| H | H | | | 9. 9 | Tax Map number Magisterial District County City or Town |
| H | H | H | | 10 | Master Plan (show which roads built, which roads in system) |
| | H | H | | 10. | Plat if available showing rights-of-way lots & easements |
| H | H | H | | 12 | Type of development (i.e. industrial commercial single-family |
| | | | | | residential, etc.) |
| | | | | 13. | Current and proposed zoning of property & adjacent parcels |
| | | | | 14. | Location map with scale |
| | | | | 15. | General Notes including required VDOT general notes |
| | | | | 16. | Date, revision dates |
| | | | | 17. | Sheet Index with all sheets numbered and dated |
| | | | | 18. | All lines and symbols clear & labeled; all text legible |
| | | | | 19. | Existing vs. proposed items easily distinguishable |
| | | | | 20. | Plans must clearly indicate which roads are to be built for |
| | | | | | acceptance into VDOT Secondary System of Highways |

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 3 of 12 |
| - 3 - | |

B. REVISIONS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Letter from designer must accompany revised plans submitted to VDOT for re-evaluation, describe changes made on revised plans, and provide dates of old & revised plans. Letter should discuss any items that were not changed as requested and modifications that were made due to request of other agencies. |
| | | | | 2. | Mark changed items with highlighter on 2 of the 5 sets of plans. Large revised areas need only be circled with a highlighter. |

C. TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | Traffic Impact Analysis must be included with land development subdivision submitted. (completely replaces existing 1.) |
| | | | | 2. | Traffic Impact Analyses are to be prepared in accordance with VDOT Land Development Manual-Volume 1, dated December 1, 1995 Chapter 5 "Guidelines For a Traffic Impact Study" (or latest revision).Developer is responsible for roadway improvements to accommodate the acceptable level of service. (Developer responsible for supplying sufficient information to support designs shown.) |
| | | | | 3. | Detailed plans and studies may be required that address: traffic analysis of existing and proposed conditions intersection analysis including need for signalization / channelization / turn lanes & modification to existing signals proposed roadway improvements to accommodate traffic generated by proposed development |

D. PLAN SHEETS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | · | 1. | North arrow, scale |
| | | | | 2. | Match lines clearly keyed to adjoining sheets w/ stationing |
| | | | | 3. | Limits of subdivision, limits of each phase |
| | | | | 4. | Both edges of pavement (EP), shoulder width, and right-of-way (R/W) of connecting or adjacent streets along entire development |
| | | | | | plus 200' minimum each way. Show existing road spot elevations |
| | | | | | of both EPs and centerline @ 25' intervals near |
| | | | | | connection.Pavement design of existing streets. |

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 4 of 12 |
| - 4 - | |

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 5. | Existing crossovers, entrances, utilities, storm sewers, etc., that |
| | | | | | may be affected by proposed development. |
| | | | | 6. | Street names and state route numbers |
| | | | | 7. | Distance reference (to 0.01 mile) to nearest intersection |
| | | | | 8. | Centerline: |
| | | | | | stationed w/ 50' ticks, 100' or 500' labels |
| | | | | | stations of begin / end, intersections, PC, PI, PT |
| | | | | | curve data |
| | | | | 9. | Proposed EP, curb, and R/W lines and construction limits |
| | | | | 10. | Intersection sight distances, especially at connection to existing |
| | | | | | state route (field measure w/ target 10' off EP) |
| | | | | 11. | Posted speed limit of existing adjacent roads |
| | | | | 12. | Lengths of turn lanes and tapers |
| | | | | 13. | EP and R/W radii for cul-de-sac and flares (fillets) |
| | | | | 14. | Lots: |
| | | | | | lot lines and numbers |
| | | | | | entrances per Standard PE-1 or CG-9 |
| | | | | | • * entrance pipe size, type, & length (can show in schedule) |
| | | | | 15. | Preliminary guardrail design, where needed, shown with lengths & |
| | | | | | terminals per VDOT standards. Final approval of layout to be |
| | | | | | provided by VDOT's Traffic Engineering Section after grading is |
| | | | | | mostly complete. |
| | | | | 16. | Relevant on-site & off-site topographic features / structures |
| | | | | 17. | All existing and proposed utilities (See K. UTILITIES) |
| | | | | 18. | All existing and proposed. drainage facilities (See I. DRAINAGE) |
| | | | | 19. | Include Erosion & Sediment Control Plan Sheets |
| | | | | | |

E. TYPICAL ROAD SECTIONS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | Road and stations to which each applies |
| | | | | 2. | Proposed traffic count and design speed for each street(can be |
| | | | | | shown in a schedule) |
| | | | | 3. | Centerline and R/W width |
| | | | | 4. | Width & slope of pavement, shoulder, ditch, etc.; type shoulder |
| | | | | | and cut and fill slopes. |
| | | | | 5. | Curb type, sidewalk, utility strip, etc., if applicable |
| | | | | 6. | Pavement design in accordance with VDOT Subdivision Street |
| | | | | | Requirements, Superpave Asphalt Design Mixes, and Pavement |
| | | | | | Design Guide for Subdivision & Secondary Roads in Virginia. |
| | | | | 7. | Show types, depths, and application rates of all pavement and |
| | | | | | aggregate layers and prime coats. |
| | | | | 8. | All aggregate layers are to extend 1' beyond EP or back of curb. |
| | | | | 9. | The connections for intersections and commercial entrances |
| | | | | | (including Std. CG-11) shall be modified such that the street |
| | | | | | approach pavement is the same as the new roadway / entrance or |
| | | | | | mainline pavement, whichever has the highest structural value, or |
| | | | | | as determined by the District Materials Engineer. |

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 5 of 12 |
| - 5 - | |

F. OTHER TYPICAL SECTIONS / DETAILS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Where each applies |
| | | | | 2. | Pavement widening or overlays of existing roads; crossovers |
| | | | | 3. | Special ditches: show shape, depth, slope, lining, min./max. grade. If paved, show details or reference VDOT Standard. |
| | | | | 4. | Entrances (internal, commercial, or private) |
| | | | | 5. | Special undercut or fill measures for unsuitable material, existing ponds, sinkholes, controlled fill, etc. |
| | | | | 6. | Special drainage designs, structures, basins, berms, etc. |
| | | | | 7. | Details of all items that are not a VDOT Standard or are a modification of a VDOT Standard. |
| | | | | 8. | Cross sections of road, drainage, or other proposed construction may be required at areas of concern such as at connections to primary roads, when work is close to exterior property lines, at other constricted areas, etc. |

G. ROAD PROFILES

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Street name |
| | | | | 2. | Horizontal and vertical scale and grid |
| | | | | 3. | Existing ground line (extended 100' minimum beyond slope tie-in) |
| | | | | 4. | Proposed finished grade line |
| | | | | 5. | Percent grade, vertical curve data including K value (=L/A) |
| | | | | 6. | Stations and elevations at begin / end, 50' min. intervals high & low points, PVC, PVI (CG), PVT, @ intersecting roads EPs and |
| | | | | | centerline (include super), & at subdivision phase limits |
| | | | | 7. | Provide adequate landing |
| | | | | 8. | Intersection sight distances: eye = $3.5'$, object = $3.5'$ |
| | | | | 9. | Stopping sight distance (crest curves): eye = 3.5', object =2.0' |
| | | | | 10. | Culverts: size, type, invert, pipe number |
| | | | | 11. | Storm sewer profiles and drainage structures (within R/W) |
| | | | | 12. | Ditch profile (where non-standard) |
| | | | | 13. | Water lines, sanitary sewer, and existing underground utilities |
| | | | | 14. | Special undercut or fill areas |

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 6 of 12 |
| | |

- 6 -

H. OTHER PROFILES

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Special ditches, storm sewers, outfalls - extend ground line 100' minimum beyond tie-in |
| | | | | 2. | Entrances needing special attention, i.e. steep, constricted. (Tie proposed grade to edge of shoulder, not EP). |

I. DRAINAGE (shown on plan & profile sheet, supplemental or detail sheet)

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | Contour plan of entire development and adjacent area: *every 5th contour highlighted & elevation clearly labeled minimum contour interval usually two feet shown on road plans or as separate sheet showing entire drainage system design stationed centerline and R/W lines shown drainage sub-areas outlined, labeled and areas shown * showing topographic features, existing buildings, etc. |
| | | | | 2. | Existing and relocated streams and drainage ways. |
| | | | | 3. | Existing and proposed pipes, storm sewers, and drainage structures with location, size, type, lengths, inverts, design cover, and flow arrows. |
| | | | | 4. | Proposed ditches (center of all shown graphically accurate by either flow arrows, finished contours, lining symbols or other methods). Show where linings begin and end. |
| | | | | 5. | Std. CD-1 or CD-2 underdrains @ lower ends of cuts, vertical |
| | | | | 6. | Storm sewer system w/ VDOT standard structures. Show top, rim, height, grate, & invert elevations and throat lengths. |
| | | | | 7. | Plan, profile and typical section of all ditches other than standard roadside ditches |
| | | | | 8. | Proposed drainage easements to natural watercourses (usually 20' minimum width). |
| | | | | 9. | Existing drainage facilities possibly affected by proposed development: location, size, inverts, etc. |
| | | | | 10. | Erosion & sediment control measures |
| | | | | 11. | Stormwater management plans and computations, where |
| | | | | 12. | Stormwater management low impact development (LID) or other water quality techniques for the roadway are shown within the R/W detail sheets and computations. |
| | | | | 13. | Have Maintenance agreements for LID or other water quality techniques, between the county, developer and VDOT been executed. |

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 7 of 12 |
| Revised: April 2009 | , |

J. DRAINAGE COMPUTATIONS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Should be self-explanatory. (See Item A.2) |
| | | | | 2. | Subdivision name, date, author, professional stamp |
| | | | | 3. | In accordance with VDOT's current criteria including VDOT's |
| | | | | | Drainage Manual. Discuss any methods or references used that |
| | | | | | are not generally used by VDOT. |
| | | | | 4. | Sufficient background, supporting information and summary of |
| | | | | | any computer printouts submitted |
| | | | | 5. | Copy of USGS topo map showing drainage patterns of area. |
| | | | | 6. | Discuss whether future sections are considered in design. |
| | | | | 7. | Hydrology: drainage sub-areas to agree with contour plan, design |
| | | | | | discharge calculations, pre- & post-development flows. |
| | | | | 8. | Hydraulics: Pipe, ditch, storm sewer & inlet computations. |
| | | | | 9. | Outfall analysis (evaluation of receiving channel / structure) |
| | | | | 10. | MS4 outfall data for the new street is provided to VDOT |

K. UTILITIES

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | Show all existing underground and overhead utilities and |
| | | | | | easements, proposed water and sanitary mains, service laterals, |
| | | | | | types, sizes, and appurtenances. |
| | | | | 2. | Utilities should be located off R/W, where possible. |
| | | | | 3. | Utilities should be located out of pavement, where possible. |
| | | | | 4. | Adjustment of existing utilities, where needed. |
| | | | | 5. | Proposed utility crossings of existing roads: show location, |
| | | | | | alignment, size, type, encasements, lengths, crossing methods |
| | | | | 6. | Route utilities under culverts where possible |
| | | | | 7. | Set fire hydrants at R/W on lot lines, where possible. |
| | | | | 8. | Set manholes, valves, etc. in shoulder, utility strip or behind |
| | | | | | sidewalk, where possible. |
| | | | | 9. | Set streetlights at R/W line, outside clear zone. |
| | | | | 10. | Check for conflicts between utilities, road and drainage. |

L. TEMPORARY CUL-DE-SACS / ROADS TO BE EXTENDED

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Traffic study must address ultimate projected traffic. If master plan of future area to be served is unavailable, give information on & discuss acreage, access & zoning of adjacent land. Discuss any County Comprehensive Plan available. |
| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
| | | | | 2. | Indicate pavement design. |
| | | | | 3. | Provide adequate temporary easement. |

- 7 -

| VDOT | | | | | | | í. | Subdiv | vision | Submit | tal Chec | klist |
|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|---------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-------|
| Revise | d: Apri | 1 2009 | | | | | | | | | 8 (| of 12 |
| | | | | - 8 - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4. | On profile, e | extend | existing | ground | line | and | future | grade | line |
| | | | | enough to sho | ow a sa | tisfactory | / extensi | on is | poss | ible. | | |

M. CURB & GUTTER STREETS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | Show street widths and radii to face of curb |
| | | | | 2. | Show entrance type |
| | | | | 3. | Show Std. CG-12's @ intersections & other req'd. locations |
| | | | | 4. | Tie standard CD-1 underdrains into drop inlets |
| | | | | 5. | Intersection and cul-de-sac details are usually needed to show: |
| | | | | | type of intersection (i.e., Std. CG-11), how drainage is handled, |
| | | | | | top of curb and EP elevations around radii, etc. |
| | | | | 6. | Provide necessary drainage computations |

N. MISCELLANEOUS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | If subdivision identification sign is desired, provide for in easement off R/W |
| | | | | 2. | If any special use of R/W is desired such as bike paths, landscaping, irrigation system, lighting, parking, retaining walls, etc., provide full details and technical specs. These may need to be shown on separate plan sheets. |
| | | | | 3. | Details of any special entrance road design (i.e., one-way, islands, medians, etc. Details of cluster mailbox pull-offs. |

O. GEOTECHNICAL – General Information

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Soil Technician / Engineer qualification statement. |
| | | | | 2. | Statement that investigation was completed under the direction of VDOT personnel. |
| | | | | 3. | Contact information for developer, designer, soil testing laboratory, and soil technician. |
| | | | | 4. | Site Map showing project location. |

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 9 of 12 |
| - 9 - | |

P. PAVEMENT DESIGN

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | Contact information for developer, designer, soil testing laboratory, and soil technician. |
| | | | | 2. | Statement that samples were obtained according to the frequencies provided in the VDOT Pavement Design Guide for Subdivisions and Secondary Roads, Page 4, Section A.2.a. |
| | | | | 3. | Sample Location Map showing borehole, test pit, and/or surface sample collection sites in reference to proposed alignment. |
| | | | | 4. | Sieve Analysis Report in accordance with VTM-25. |
| | | | | 5. | Atterberg Limits Report in accordance with VTM-7 (for soils with more than 35% passing No. 200 sieve). |
| | | | | 6. | Maximum Density / Optimum Moisture (Proctor) Report in accordance with VTM-1 |
| | | | | 7. | CBR Report in accordance with VTM-8. |
| | | | | 8. | Reports should include sample location, depth and natural water content |
| | | | | 9. | Documentation that the projected average daily traffic (ADT) volume to be used for design purposes follows the VDOT Road Design Manual, Appendix B, including %HCV and adjusted by Pavement Design Guide for Subdivision and Secondary Roads, Appendix IV |
| | | | | 10. | Complete Flexible Pavement Design Worksheet for New Subdivision Streets (Pavement Design Guide for Subdivision & Secondary Roads in Virginia) |
| | | | | 11. | Please note that there are design, subgrade, and drainage considerations in addition to the procedure described in Appendix IV. Also, where locality requirements exceed the pavement design determined by Appendix IV, that locality's design method governs. No checklist or worksheet will relieve the designer's responsibility for the proper use and application of the design methods provided, or adherence to VDOT standards and specifications. |

Q. PIPE/BOX CULVERT FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Contact information for developer, designer, testing laboratory, and soil technician. |
| | | | | 2. | For box culverts or pipes with diameter 36" or greater, a minimum of one boring shall be advanced at each endwall and at 200-foot intervals along the alignment of pipe or culvert. Borings should extend at least one pipe diameter below the invert elevation, fully penetrating unsuitable material or fill and extending at least 5 feet into underlying natural soils. |
| | | | | 3. | Sample Location Map showing borehole, test pit, and/or surface sample collection sites in reference to proposed box culvert location. |
| | | | | 4. | Logs indicating sample location (station & offset), SPT data, Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) description of subsurface materials, as well as natural water content. |

| VDOT | | | | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|---|
| Revised | l: Apri | 1 2009 | | 10 of 12 |
| | | | | - 10 - |
| | | | 5. | Test reports should include soil pH and soil resistivity results. |
| | | | 6. | Provide box culvert foundation design in accordance with VDOT |
| | | | | Road & Bridge Standards and Specifications. |
| | | | | Additional review may be required. Please contact the District |
| | | | | Structure & Bridge, Environmental and Hydraulics Offices. |

R. BRIDGE FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Contact information for developer, designer, testing laboratory, and soil technician. |
| | | | | 2. | For bridges less than 100 feet wide, a minimum of two borings shall be advanced within the proposed footprint of each, abutment and pier. For bridges over 100 feet wide, advance three borings per each abutment and pier. |
| | | | | З. | For shallow foundations, borings should be advanced to a depth at least twice the estimated width of the pier footing, or 4 times the width of the strip footing (L/B>10). Borings shall fully penetrate unsuitable material or fill, and extend at least 10 feet into material with suitable bearing capacity. If rock is encountered, it shall be cored to a depth of at least 5 feet. |
| | | | | 4. | For deep foundations, borings should extend at least 15 feet below the anticipated pile or shaft tip elevation or a minimum of 2 times the maximum pile group dimension, whichever is greater. For piles bearing on rock, at least 10 feet of core shall be taken from each boring. For drilled shafts bearing on rock, at least 10 feet or 3 times the shaft diameter of rock core shall be taken from each boring. |
| | | | | 5. | Sample Location Map showing borehole locations in reference to |
| | | | | 6. | Borehole logs indicating location (station & offset, northing & easting, <u>and</u> latitude & longitude), SPT data, RQD for cored rock, USCS description of subsurface materials, initial and static groundwater elevations (if encountered), color digital photographs of individual rock cores, and any associated in-situ and lab test reports |
| | | | | 7. | Provide bridge foundation design for each bridge substructure unit. Include the estimated allowable bearing capacity of the materials encountered at the proposed foundation elevation. Additional review may be required. Please contact the District Structure & Bridge, Environmental and Hydraulics Offices. |

S. RETAINING WALL / SOUND WALL FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | Contact information for developer, designer, testing laboratory, and soil technician. |
| | | | | 2. | Borings shall be advanced for each 100-200 feet along the proposed alignment over the full length of the wall, with a minimum of two borings. Borings shall be advanced to a depth of |

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 11 of 12 |
| - 11 - | |

| | | | - 11 - |
|--|--|----|---|
| | | | twice the proposed wall height, should fully penetrate unsuitable material or fill, and extend 10 feet into competent material or 5 feet into rock. |
| | | 3. | Sample Location Map showing borehole locations in reference to wall alignment. |
| | | 4. | Borehole logs indicating location (station & offset), SPT data, RQD for cored rock, USCS description of subsurface materials, natural water content, and any associated in-situ and lab test reports. |
| | | 5. | Provide retaining wall foundation design in accordance with VDOT Standards and Specifications. Include the estimated allowable bearing capacity of the materials encountered at the proposed foundation elevation. |
| | | | Additional review may be required. Please contact the District Structure & Bridge Office |

T. STORM WATER MANAGEMENT BASIN DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | 1. | Contact information for developer, designer, testing laboratory, |
| | | | | | and soil technician. |
| | | | | 2. | A minimum of two borings shall be advanced for basins less than 2 acres in extent (one additional boring for each additional acre), one in the impoundment area and another in the dam. Borings shall be advanced 5 feet below the proposed bottom elevation of the impoundment area and to a depth twice the embankment height at the dam, should fully penetrate unsuitable material or fill, and extend 10 feet into competent material or 5 feet into rock. A groundwater observation well should be installed to monitor long-term groundwater levels |
| | | | | 3. | Sample Location Map showing borehole locations in reference to basin layout and dam location |
| | | | | 4. | Borehole logs indicating location (station & offset), SPT data, RQD for cored rock, USCS description of subsurface materials, natural water content, and any associated in-situ and lab test reports. |
| | | | | 5. | Test reports to include gradation, Atterberg, USCS description and natural water content. A minimum of one sample from the |
| _ | _ | _ | | | impoundment subgrade should be tested for permeability |
| | | | | 6. | Provide stormwater management basin design in accordance with VDOT Standards and Specifications. |
| | | | | | Additional review may be required. Please contact the District Environmental and Hydraulics Offices. |

| VDOT | Subdivision Submittal Checklist |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Revised: April 2009 | 12 of 12 |
| - 12 - | |

U. SOIL SLOPE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

| Yes | No | N/A | VDOT | Item | Description |
|-----|----|-----|------|------|---|
| | | | | 1. | Contact information for developer, designer, testing laboratory, and soil technician. |
| | | | | 2. | In fill embankments, advance one boring every 200 feet along the toe of the proposed slope. Borings should be advanced to a depth twice the height of embankment for embankments over 15 feet in height; to a depth equal to the height of embankment for smaller embankments, but at least 5 feet below subgrade elevation. |
| | | | | 3. | In cut slopes, advance one boring every 200 feet along the top of the proposed slope. Borings should be advanced to a depth at least 10 feet below the proposed minimum elevation of cut for slopes greater than 15 feet in height; at least 5 feet below subgrade elevation for smaller slopes. |
| | | | | 4. | Borings should fully penetrate unsuitable material or fill and extend at least 15 feet (for large slopes) or 5 feet (small slopes) into underlying suitable soils. At least one groundwater observation well should be installed to monitor long-term groundwater levels. If rock is encountered above design grade, it should be cored to the full depth of the planned cut |
| | | | | 5. | Sample Location Map showing borehole locations in reference to slope alignment |
| | | | | 6. | Borehole logs indicating location (station & offset), SPT data, RQD for cored rock, USCS description of subsurface materials, natural water content, and any associated in-situ and lab test reports. |
| | | | | 7. | Test reports to include gradation, Atterberg Limits and USCS descriptions. May require advanced geotechnical tests to include direct and/or triaxial shear and consolidation testing |
| | | | | 8. | Provided soil slope design in accordance with VDOT Standards and Specifications. |

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge that the above stated information is included in the submitted plans and attachments.

Designer's Signature: _____ Date: _____