Page 1 of 3

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION LOCATION AND DESIGN DESIGN QUALITY INDEX EVALUATION FORM (Note: Use the tab key to navigate and complete this form.)

Date:	Project Number: UPC: Project Inspector: Project Designer/Coordinator: Design Consultant Firm:					
Description of work:						
Location: From:		To:				
System: Interstate Prima	ry	Contract Dollar Value: Work Orders: Final Estimate Amount:	\$ \$ \$			

Instructions

This form is to be prepared at or near the completion of the project and discussed at the Post Construction Meeting. Please rate only those factors that pertain to the project. Type N/A for not applicable factors. Use the factor rating descriptions as defined below.

- 4. No design problems Minor deviations or field adjustments, no plan revisions or work orders processed.
- 3. Some design problems Minor plan revisions, minor work orders, several field adjustments or time extensions processed.
- 2. Numerous design problems Plan revision(s) processed, work order or time extension required to construct.
- 1. Major design problem Major design change required or major time impact to construction.

Provide a brief explanation for why the rating was given with specific examples, if available. To arrive at the Project Index, add all of the scores together, divide by the number of factors used and round the number to the nearest tenth. The form is signed by the Project Inspector and Project Engineer and is then forwarded to the District Construction Engineer for signature and distribution.

SCORE

CONSTRUCTABILITY – The ability to construct a project in an orderly and logical manner within the guidelines of the plans, specifications, and other project documents. (Note: Changes made due to the contractor's preference should not be reflected in this rating.)

Comments:

Page 2 of 3 MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC - An orderly and logical sequence of traffic that accommodates traffic and provides safety for project personnel and the traveling public. (Note: Changes made due to the contractor's preference should not be reflected in this rating.) Comments: **DRAINAGE** – The adequate conveyance of water which protects the facility, environment and private property. Comments: SCORE **DOCUMENT CLARITY** – The ability to easily read and understand the intent of the design (plans and specifications). Comments: SUBSURFACE INFORMATION - Accurate and sufficient subsurface information to depict field conditions. (Items such as borings or other soil reports.) Comments: SURVEY - Accurate and sufficient data for control points and the existing topography that ties the proposed design into the surrounding conditions. Comments: UTILITIES - The required adjustments to the proposed and existing utilities which are

correctly shown on the documents. (Note: Non-performance by the utility should not be reflected in the rating). Comments:

EROSION, SILTATION, AND SEDIMENTATION – Adequacy of items incorporated
in the plans to comply with E&S requirements.

Comments:

(A) TOTAL FACTOR SCORE (B) NUMBER OF FACTORS USED PROJECT INDEX (A)/(B)

Additional Comments:

Project Inspector		date	District Construction Engineer	
Pro	ject Engineer	date	VDOT Designer / Project Manager	date
cc:	Chief Engineer Project Manager - original State Structure & Bridge Engineer Location and Design Division (Utilities Secti District Location and Design Engineer State Survey Engineer		tion) District Construction Engineer Project Engineer Project Designer/Coordinator Residency Administrator State Construction Engineer QMAC Section Manager	